



Attorney's Docket No.: 004906.P008

PATENT

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

TUNNEL INTERWORKING

the specification	of which		
	is attached hereto. was filed on United States Applicational	ation Number as Application Number	
	and was amended of	if application (if applicable)	·
1.1	at the constant and and and	` '.' '	la makifi a ad
specification, inc	at I have reviewed and under cluding the claim(s), as amend	rstand the contents of the above-id ded by any amendment referred to	above.
I acknowledge to defined in Title 3	ne duty to disclose all informa 37, Code of Federal Regulatio	ation known to me to be material to ons, Section 1.56.	patentability as
foreign application	on(s) for patent or inventor's	Title 35, United States Code, Secti certificate listed below and have all s certificate having a filing date bef	so identified below
Prior Foreign Ap	pplication(s)		Priority <u>Claimed</u>
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	Yes No
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	Yes No
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	Yes No
I hereby claim the provisional appli	ne benefit under Title 35, Unit cation(s) listed below:	ted States Code, Section 119(e) of	any United States
Application Nu	ımber Filing	Date	
Application Nu	ımber Filing	Date	





I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Numb	er Filing	Date	Status	patente pendir	ed, ng, abandoned
Application Numb	er Filing	Date	Status	patente pendir	ed, ng, abandoned
part of this documen substitution and revo	persons listed on Appen i) as my respective pate cation, to prosecute this e connected herewith.	nt attornevs a	nd patent ac	aents, with	n full power of
ZAFMAN LLP. 1240	nce to <u>Daniel M. De\</u> (Name of Attorn 0 Wilshire Boulevard 7 <u>Daniel M. DeVos</u> (Name of Attorney o	'th Floor. Los	: Angeles, (Jaiitornia	OLOFF, TAYLOR & 90025 and direct
I hereby declare tha	nt all statements made n information and belic	ef are believe	d to be true	e: and fur	ther that these
statements were m are punishable by f States Code and th application or any [ade with the knowledg ine or imprisonment, o at such willful false sta patent issued thereon.	e that willful or both, unde atements may	r Section 10 y jeopardize	001 of Tit e the valid	le 18 of the United dity of the
statements were m are punishable by the States Code and the application or any particular of Sole/Fi	ade with the knowledg ine or imprisonment, o at such willful false sta patent issued thereon. rst Inventor <u>Suhail Nan</u>	e that willful or both, unde atements may	r Section 10 y jeopardize	001 of Tit e the valid	le 18 of the United dity of the
statements were mare punishable by the States Code and the application or any pure Full Name of Sole/Fill Inventor's Signature	ade with the knowledg ine or imprisonment, o at such willful false standard thereon. rst Inventor Suhail Nan	e that willful or both, unde atements may	r Section 10 y jeopardize	001 of Tite the validate	le 18 of the United dity of the
statements were m are punishable by the States Code and the application or any particular of Sole/Fi	ade with the knowledg ine or imprisonment, o at such willful false sta patent issued thereon. rst Inventor <u>Suhail Nan</u>	e that willful or both, unde atements may	r Section 10 y jeopardize	001 of Tite the validate	le 18 of the United dity of the
statements were mare punishable by the States Code and the application or any purpose of Sole/Fill Name of Sole/Fill Nam	ade with the knowledg ine or imprisonment, o at such willful false standard thereon. rst Inventor Suhail Nan	e that willful or both, unde atements may	r Section 10 y jeopardize	001 of Tite the validate	le 18 of the United dity of the
statements were mare punishable by the States Code and the application or any purpose of Sole/Fill Name of Sole/Fill Inventor's Signature Residence San Jose Post Office Address	ade with the knowledgine or imprisonment, of at such willful false statement issued thereon. Test Inventor Suhail Nan (City, State) 5376 Beaumont Canyo	e that willful or both, unde atements may ji	r Section 10 y jeopardize Da Citizenship	ote	le 18 of the United dity of the
statements were mare punishable by the States Code and the application or any purpose of Sole/Fill Name of Sole/Fill Nam	ade with the knowledgine or imprisonment, of at such willful false statement issued thereon. Test Inventor Suhail Name, California (City, State) 5376 Beaumont Canyon San Jose, California Test Inventor William Palents	e that willful or both, unde atements may ji	r Section 10 y jeopardize Da Citizenship	ote	le 18 of the United dity of the
statements were mare punishable by the States Code and the application or any purpose of Sole/Fill Name of Sole/Fill Inventor's Signature	ade with the knowledgine or imprisonment, of at such willful false statement issued thereon. Test Inventor Suhail Nan (City, State) 5376 Beaumont Canyo San Jose, California	e that willful or both, under atements may ji	r Section 10 y jeopardize Da Citizenship	ote	le 18 of the United dity of the





APPENDIX A

William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. P42,261; Aloysius T. C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Carol F. Barry, Reg. No. 41,600; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Ronald C. Card, Reg. No. 44,657; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Stephen M. De Klerk, under 37 C.F.R. § 10.9(b); Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Robert Andrew Diehl, Reg. No. 40,992; Matthew C. Fagan, Reg. No. 37,542; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,992; Matthew C. Fagan, Reg. No. 41,064; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. P41,845; Sheryl Sue Holloway, Reg. No. 37,850; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Erica W. Kuo, Reg. No. 42,775; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, under 37 C.F.R. § 10.9(b); Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Darren J. Milliken, Reg. 42,004; Lisa A. Norris, Reg. No. 44,976; Chun M. Ng. Reg. No. 36,678; Thien T. Nguyen, Reg. No. 43,835; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 42,034; Dennis A. Nicholls, Reg. No. 42,036; Kimberley G. Nobles, Reg. No. 38,255; Daniel E. Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Babak Redjaian, Reg. No. 42,096; William F. Ryann, Reg. 44,313; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Jeffrey Sam Smith, Reg. No. 39,377; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 42,179; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; John F. Travis, Reg. No. 43,203; George G. C. Tseng, Reg. No. 41,355; Joseph A. Twarowski, Reg. No. 42,191; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; Glenn E. Von Tersch, Reg. No. 41,364; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Charles T. J. Weigell, Reg. No. 42,242; Ben J. Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my patent attorneys, and Andrew C. Chen, Reg. No.





APPENDIX B

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.